

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

ME 243: Midsemester Test

Date: 22/9/05.

Duration: 3.30 p.m.–5.00 p.m.

Maximum Marks: 100

1. Do the following: (40)

(a) Show that for $\mathbf{R} \in \text{Orth}^+$, $\text{tr } \mathbf{R}$ is the only “independent” invariant among the three principal invariants.

(b) Substitute $\mathbf{W} = |\mathbf{w}|(\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{r})$, ($\mathbf{w}/|\mathbf{w}|, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}$ orthonormal) into the equation

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}, \alpha) = \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|} \sin \alpha \mathbf{W} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|^2} (1 - \cos \alpha) \mathbf{W}^2,$$

and obtain an equation for $\mathbf{R} \in \text{Orth}^+$ in terms of $\alpha, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}$ (where $\mathbf{e} := \mathbf{w}/|\mathbf{w}|$), $\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{r}$. Find $\text{tr } \mathbf{R}$ using this equation.

(c) Prove the following: Two proper orthogonal tensors \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 have the same trace, i.e., $\text{tr } \mathbf{Q}_1 = \text{tr } \mathbf{Q}_2$, if and only if there exists an orthogonal tensor \mathbf{Q}_0 (which means that either \mathbf{Q}_0 or $-\mathbf{Q}_0$ is a rotation) such that $\mathbf{Q}_2 = \mathbf{Q}_0 \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_0^t$. (Hint: To prove the “only if” part, use the representation for a proper orthogonal tensor that you derive in part (b) above to find an explicit formula for \mathbf{Q}_0 .)

(d) Using the representation that you derive in part (b) above, prove that $\mathbf{R} \in \text{Orth}^+ \cap \text{Sym} - \{\mathbf{I}\}$ if and only if it is of the form $2\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{I}$.

2. Let \mathbf{F} be the deformation gradient. Using the expressions (30)

$$\text{cof } \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{22}F_{33} - F_{23}F_{32} & F_{23}F_{31} - F_{21}F_{33} & F_{21}F_{32} - F_{22}F_{31} \\ F_{32}F_{13} - F_{33}F_{12} & F_{33}F_{11} - F_{31}F_{13} & F_{31}F_{12} - F_{32}F_{11} \\ F_{12}F_{23} - F_{13}F_{22} & F_{13}F_{21} - F_{11}F_{23} & F_{11}F_{22} - F_{12}F_{21} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{n} is a fixed vector (not dependent on \mathbf{u}), find $D((\text{cof } \mathbf{F})\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{u}^0)[\mathbf{u}_\Delta]$ in the form $\mathbf{R}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_c$, where \mathbf{R} is the matrix that you have to determine, and

$$(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_c = \begin{bmatrix} (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{11} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{12} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{13} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{21} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{22} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{23} \\ & & & & & & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{31} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{32} & (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\Delta)_{33} \end{bmatrix}^t.$$

3. Given a vector field $\mathbf{v} : V(t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^3$ over the deformed configuration $V(t)$, its Piola transform (30)
is the vector field $\mathbf{u} : V_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^3$ defined over the reference configuration by the relation

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}) = (\text{cof } \mathbf{F})^t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}).$$

We wish to find a relation between the divergences $\nabla_X \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{v}$. With a view towards this

(a) If $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Lin}$ and \mathbf{a} is a vector, find a relation for $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{T}^t \mathbf{a})$ in terms of the divergence of \mathbf{T} and the gradient of \mathbf{a} .

(b) Using this relation and the fact that $\nabla_X \cdot \text{cof } \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$, find the desired relation between $\nabla_X \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{v}$.