Electrothermal Microactuators

G. K. Ananthasuresh

suresh@mecheng.iisc.ernet.in Mechanical Engineering Indian Institute of Science Bangalore, INDIA

November 2014, ME 237/NE 211 course in IISc.

Effects of heating on mechanical deformation

$$\alpha = \frac{d\varepsilon}{dT}$$
 Temperature coefficient of expansion

 $\varepsilon(T) = \varepsilon(T_0) + \alpha(T - T_0)$ Uniaxial thermal strain

$$\varepsilon_{mismatch}(T) = (\alpha_f - \alpha_s)(T - T_0)$$
$$\sigma_{mismatch} = \left(\frac{E}{1 - \nu}\right) \varepsilon_{mismatch}$$

Mismatched thermal strain and stress between a film and a substrate that are bonded to each other.

$$\varepsilon_z = -\left\{\alpha_f + 2\nu(\alpha_f - \alpha_s)\right\}(T - T_0)$$

Total strain for a sandwiched film in the thickness (z) direction

³ *Embedded actuation:* Actuator and mechanism are together.





Heatuator: Series connection

4

(Guckel et al., 1992; Comtois and Bright, 1996)



Heatuator: parallel connection

(Moulton and Ananthasuresh, 1997)

Parallel connection



Heatuator: working



6

Heatuator with elective doping (if made with silicon)

7



ETC expansion block







ETC Parallel micro manipulator



9

With three degrees of freedom; Made using MUMPs, polysilicon.

Devices made with PennSOIL



Modeling



V

Governing equations (steady-state)

Electrical Domain

 $\nabla \cdot (\tilde{k}_e \nabla v) + i_e = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$ $v = v_e \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{eE}$ $\overline{n} \cdot (\tilde{k}_e \nabla v) = f_e \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{nE}$

Elastic Domain

 $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\sigma} + \overline{F} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega$ $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{E}[\tilde{\varepsilon} - \alpha(T - T_0)\tilde{I}] \quad \text{in } \Omega$ $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\nabla \overline{u} + (\nabla \overline{u})^T}{2} \qquad \text{in } \Omega$ $\overline{u} = \overline{u}_e \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{eM}$ $\tilde{\sigma} \ \overline{n} = \overline{f}_u \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{nM}$

Thermal Domain

- $\nabla \cdot (\tilde{k}_t \nabla T) + \dot{q}_T = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega$
 - $\dot{q}_T = \tilde{k}_e \nabla v \cdot \nabla v$ in Ω
 - $T = T_e$ on Γ_{eT}

$$\overline{n} \cdot (\tilde{k_t} \nabla T) = f_T \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{nT}$$

Inter-domain Coupling $\widetilde{k}_{e}(T), \quad q_{T}(v), \quad \widetilde{E}(T), \quad \alpha(T),$ Nonlinearity $\widetilde{k}_{e}(T), \quad \widetilde{k}_{t}(T), \quad f_{T}(T),$ $\widetilde{E}(T), \quad \alpha(T).$

Thermal modeling

- Convection
 - > Temperature dependence of heat transfer properties.
 - > Size dependence of heat transfer properties.
- Radiation
 - View / Shape factors.
 - Radiation heat transfer between parts of the same device at different temperatures.
- Boundary Conditions
 - > Essential Boundary conditions at the device anchor.
 - > Natural Boundary conditions at the device anchor.
- Conduction through trapped air volume
 - Conduction between parts of the same device at different temperature with an intervening trapped air volume.
 - Conduction from the underside of the device to the substrate through the air trapped between them.
- > Temperature dependence of thermo-physical Properties

Why convection and radiation ?

Thermal Expansion Device (TED), Cragun & Howell (1998)



14

EBC v/s NBC

Essential Boundary C *Thermally Grounded*

Natural Boundary C *Not Thermally Grounded*



The Finite Element model



20 node, 3-D Continuum elements in ABAQUS

Fully Coupled Electro-Thermal Analysis

Sequentially Coupled Thermo-Elastic Analysis

With temperature dependent material properties and heat transfer coefficients.

Thermal Boundary Conditions and Scaling : Case Studies

Same Maximum Temperature at Steady State

- \succ EBC + Meso
- > NBC + Meso
- Made using PennSOIL
- \succ EBC + Micro
- \rightarrow NBC + Micro

Made using MUMPs

- Same Power Input
 - \succ EBC + Meso
 - > NBC + Meso
 - \succ EBC + Micro
 - \rightarrow NBC + Micro

• Same *Applied*

Voltage

- Experiment
- EBC + Meso – NBC + Meso
- EBC + Micro
- NBC + Micro

Same *Maximum Temperature*



Same *Maximum Temperature*



Same Applied Voltage



20



G.K. Ananthasuresh, Indian Institute of Science

More complicated geometry





One dimensional approximation



Parameters for analytical modeling of electro-thermal-compliant actuator



Out-of-plane thickness $= p_t L$

24

Electrical analysis



$$P_e = J^2 R = \frac{LV^2}{\phi_e \rho_e}$$

G.K. Ananthasuresh, Indian Institute of Science

dissipated power vary

with scaling.

Coupling between electrical and thermal analysis

$$\dot{Q}_{e_i} = \frac{J^2 R_i}{A_i L_i} = \frac{L^2 V^2}{\phi_e^2 A_i^2 \rho_e}$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\dot{Q}_{e_1} = \frac{V^2}{\phi_e^2 p_t^2 p_2^2 L^2 \rho_e}$$
$$\dot{Q}_{e_2} = \frac{V^2}{\phi_e^2 p_t^2 p_2^2 L^2 \rho_e}$$
$$\dot{Q}_{e_3} = \frac{V^2}{\phi_e^2 p_t^2 p_3^2 L^2 \rho_e}$$
$$\dot{Q}_{e_4} = \frac{V^2}{\phi_e^2 p_t^2 p_2^2 L^2 \rho_e}$$

Thermal analysis



Out-of-plane thickness $= p_t L$

$$\frac{d^2 T_i(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{\dot{Q}_{e_i}}{k_t} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 3, 4$$

Temperature profile in the connector is not modeled as it is negligibly short.

R_{T3}

 R_{T4}

 R_{T2}

$$T_{i}(x) = -\frac{Q_{e_{i}}}{2k_{t}}x^{2} + a_{i}x + b_{i} \quad i = 1, 3, 4$$

Six constants to be evaluated from the boundary conditions.

Boundary conditions to solve for constants

$$T_i(x) = -\frac{\dot{Q}_{e_i}}{2k_t}x^2 + a_i x + b_i \quad i = 1, 3, 4$$

1. Temperature raise at the left end is zero.

$$T_1(x=0) = T_0$$
 $b_1 = T_0$

2. Temperature raises at the interface of first and third segments are equal.

$$T_1(x = L_1) = T_3(x = 0) \longrightarrow -\frac{Q_{e_1}}{2k_t}L^2 + a_1L + T_0 = b_3$$

3. Thermal equilibrium of the second segment

$$-k_{t}A_{1}\frac{dT_{1}}{dx}\Big|_{x=L_{1}} -k_{t}A_{3}\frac{dT_{3}}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} +\dot{Q}_{e_{2}}A_{2}L_{2} = 0$$

$$-k_{t}p_{t}p_{2}L^{2}\left(-\frac{\dot{Q}_{e_{1}}}{k_{t}}L + a_{1}\right) - k_{t}p_{t}p_{3}L^{2}a_{3} + \dot{Q}_{e_{2}}p_{t}p_{2}^{3}L^{3} = 0$$

$$T_{i}(x) = -\frac{\dot{Q}_{e_{i}}}{2k_{t}}x^{2} + a_{i}x + b_{i} \quad i = 1, 3, 4$$

4. Temperature raises at the interface of third and fourth segments are equal.

5. Heat flux continuity at the interface of third and fourth segments.

$$k_{t}A_{3}\frac{dT_{3}}{dx}\Big|_{x=L_{3}} - k_{t}A_{4}\frac{dT_{4}}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} = 0 \implies k_{t}p_{t}p_{3}L^{2}\left(-\frac{\dot{Q}_{e_{3}}}{k_{t}}(1-p_{1})L + a_{3}\right) - k_{t}p_{t}p_{2}L^{2}a_{4} = 0$$

6. Temperature raise at the end of the fourth segment is zero.

Total temperature raise = $T_{\text{max}} = \phi_{t \text{max}} \frac{V^2}{\rho_e k_t}$

Notice the lack of scaling effect!

Elastic analysis



Maizel's theorem to find the vertical deflection at the tip.

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\int_{0}^{L_{i}} \hat{F}_{axial_{i}}(x) \alpha \left\{ T(x)_{i} - T_{0} \right\} dx \right]$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{L} = \phi_{\Delta} V^2 \frac{\alpha}{\rho_e k_t}$$

Notice on what quantities the relative deflection depends.

With convection included, it would be different.

$$\Delta = \int_{V} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{x} + \hat{\sigma}_{y} + \hat{\sigma}_{z} \right) \left(\alpha \left(T - T_{0} \right) \right) dV$$

 $\hat{\sigma}_x$ = normal stress in the *x* direction due the application of a unit force at the point of interest in the direction of interest Similarly, for $\hat{\sigma}_y$, $\hat{\sigma}_z$

T(x, y, z) = temperature distribution obtained from thermal analysis



With convection included...

Without convection...

$$\frac{\Delta}{L} = \phi_{\Delta} V^2 \frac{\alpha}{\rho_e k_t} \propto L^0$$

$$\frac{d^2 T_i(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{\dot{Q}_{e_i}}{k_t} = 0 \qquad i = 1..4$$

With convection...

$$\frac{\Delta}{L} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}$$
$$T \propto \frac{\dot{Q}L}{h} \propto \frac{V^2}{\rho_e hL} \propto \frac{1}{L}$$

$$\frac{d^2 T_i(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{h p_i (T_1 - T_0)}{k_t A_i} + \frac{\dot{Q}_{e_i}}{k_t} = 0 \qquad i = 1..4$$

- Electro-thermal-elastic actuation is easy to implement in practice.
 - Large forces and displacements
 - > Slow
- > Coupled modelling is sequential, usually...
 - > Temperature-dependent properties make it nonlinear.
- Reduced order modelling is convenient and useful.