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## Outline of the lecture

Solve Problem 1 analytically.
Solve Problem 2 numerically.
What we will learn:
General procedure to write necessary conditions for a structural optimization problem.

Interpreting the optimality criterion.
Numerical solution of a structural optimization problem using the optimality criteria method.

# General structure of a structural optimization problem 

## A simple problem

$$
\operatorname{Min}_{A(x)} M C=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda(x): & \left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p=0 \\
\Lambda: & \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0
\end{array}
$$

Data: $L, p(x), E, V^{*}$

## Optimize Objective function <br> w.r.t. design <br> variables <br> (It depends on design variables and state variables.)

## Subject to

(They govern state variables.)
Governing equation(s)

They create conflict in Resource constraint(s) optimizing the objective Performance constraints function.

Data
(This should be properly chosen although the nature of the solution is not decided by the data.)

## Steps in the solution procedure

 Step 1: Write the LagrangianStep 2: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. the design variable and equate to zero to get the design equation.
Step 3: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. state variable(s) and equate to zero to get the adjoint equation.
Step 4: Collect all the equations, including the governing equation(s), complementarity condition(s), resource constraints, etc.
Step 5: Obtain the optimality criterion by substituting adjoint and equilibrium equations into the design equation, when it is possible.
Step 6: Identify all boundary conditions.
Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.
Step 8: Use the optimality criteria method to solve the equations numerically.
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## Consider Problem 1 to solve.

$$
\operatorname{Min}_{A(x)} M C=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x
$$

Subject to
$\lambda(x): \quad\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p=0$
$\Lambda: \quad \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0$
Data: $L, p(x), E, V^{*}$

## Step 1 to solve Problem 1

Step 1: Write the Lagrangian
$\underset{A(x)}{\operatorname{Min}} M C=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x$
Subject to
$\lambda(x): \quad\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p=0$
$\Lambda$ :

$$
\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0
$$

Data: $L, p(x), E, V^{*}$

Lagrangian
$L=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x+\int_{0}^{L} \lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\} d x+\Lambda\left(\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}\right)$
Integrand in the Lagrangian functional
$\hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A$

## Step 2 to solve Problem 1

Step 2: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. the design variable and equate to zero to get the design equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A=p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A \\
& \delta_{A} L=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow E \lambda u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda-\left(E \lambda u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \Lambda-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { What multiplies } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { the Lagrange } \\
\text { multiplier is the } \\
\text { sensitivity of the } \\
\text { corresponding } \\
\text { constraint. Here, } \\
\text { it is unity. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 3 to solve Problem 1

Step 3: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. state variable(s) and equate to zero to get the adjoint equation.

$$
\hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A=p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A
$$

$$
\delta_{u} L=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0
$$

Adjoint (equilibrium) equation

$$
p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow p-\left(E \lambda A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(E \lambda A)^{\prime \prime}=0$
$\Rightarrow p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0$

Adjoint load; here it is equal to the actual load because the objective function is mean compliance.

This has the same form as the governing differential equation.

## Step 4 to solve Problem 1

Step 4: Collect all the equations, including the governing equation(s), complementarity condition(s), resource constraints, etc.

$$
\{\Lambda\}+\left\{-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right\}=0 \quad \text { Design equation }
$$

$$
p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { Adjoint (equilibrium) equation }
$$

$$
p+\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { Equilibrium (governing) equation }
$$

$$
\Lambda\left(\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}\right)=0, \Lambda \geq 0 \text { Complementarity conditions }
$$

$$
\int^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0
$$

Feasibility condition

## Step 5 to solve Problem 1

Step 5: Obtain the optimality criterion by substituting adjoint and equilibrium equations into the design equation, when it is possible. $\{\Lambda\}+\left\{-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right\}=0$

Optimality criterion
$\Lambda-E u^{\prime 2}=0$
$\left.\left.p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0\right]_{\lambda=u}\right]$
$\left.p+\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0\right]$
$\Lambda\left(\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}\right)=0, \Lambda \geq 0 \quad u^{\prime}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{E}}$
$\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0$

## Step 6 to solve Problem 1

Step 6: Identify all boundary conditions.

$$
\hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A=p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A
$$

$$
\left.\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A^{\prime}}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left(E \lambda u^{\prime}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \quad \mathrm{BC1}
$$

$$
\left.\left\{\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime}}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right\} \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left\{\left(E \lambda A^{\prime}\right)-(E \lambda A)^{\prime}\right\} \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right) \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \quad \text { BC2 }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow(E A \lambda) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \tag{BC3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 7 to solve Problem 1

Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \pm E A^{\prime} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{E}}=0 \Rightarrow A(x)=\mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}} \int p(x)+C \\
& \text { The sign can be } \\
& \text { Solve for } \Lambda \text { using } \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}=0 \\
& \text { chosen based on the } \\
& \text { boundary condition } \\
& \text { Note that the } \\
& \text { design equation } \\
& \text { helped us solve } \\
& \text { for } A(x) \text { even } \\
& \text { though it did not } \\
& \text { have } A \text { in it } \\
& \text { explicitly. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 7 to solve Problem 1 (contd.)

 Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.As an example, take a fixed-free bar and $p(x)=p_{0}$ (a constant)

$$
A(x)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}} \int p(x)+C=-\frac{p_{0} x}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}}+C
$$

$$
u^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{E}}
$$

Let us observed the boundary conditions.

## Positive sign is

 chosen here because in a fixedfree bar, strain is positive when the load is positive.For a fixed-free bar, we have: $\left.u\right|_{0}=\left.0 \Rightarrow \delta u\right|_{0}=0$ and $\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{L}=0$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left.\mathrm{BC} 1\left(E \lambda u^{\prime}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \\
\text { Since } \lambda=\left.u \quad \mathrm{BC} 2\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right) \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \\
\left.\mathrm{BC} 3(E A \lambda) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=0
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(E u u^{\prime}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \\
\left.\left(E A u^{\prime}\right) \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \\
\left.(E A u) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=0
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \text { All are satisfied by } \\
& \text { virtue of these, } \\
& \text { except } \\
& \left.(E A u) \delta u^{\prime}\right|^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow A\right|^{L}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 7 to solve Problem 1 (contd.)

 Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.Since $\left.A\right|^{L}=0$

$$
A(x)=-\frac{p_{0} x}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}}+C \Rightarrow C=\frac{p_{0} L}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}} \quad \text { Thus, } A(x)=\frac{p_{0}(L-x)}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}=0 \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{L} \frac{p_{0}(L-x)}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}} d x=V^{*} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{p_{0}}{\sqrt{E \Lambda}}=\frac{V^{*}}{\int_{0}^{L}(L-x) d x}=\frac{2 V^{*}}{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Thus, } A(x)=\frac{2 V^{*}(L-x)}{L^{2}}
$$

Note that optimal area of cross-section of a fixed-free bar under uniform loading depends neither on the value of the load nor the material property, $E$.
This is true in general too!

## Fixed

Free

$$
A(0)=\frac{2 V^{*}}{L} \square A(L)=0
$$

## Step 8 to solve Problem 1

Step 8: Use the optimality criteria method to solve the equations numerically.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Set up the update scheme as: } \\
& A_{k+1}(x)=A_{k}(x)+\left(\Lambda-E u^{\prime 2}\right)_{k} \quad \text { or } \quad A_{k+1}(x)=\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)_{k} A_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is called the fixed-point method.
$k$ is the iteration counter.

Choose $A_{0}(x)$ as the initial guess.

$$
A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x)
$$

Evaluate $\Lambda$ using $\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}=0$
$\beta$ is the tuning parameter in the numerical algorithm.

Update $A_{k+1}(x)$ until convergence, i.e., until $A_{k+1}(x)-A_{k}(x) \leq$ tolerance.
More on it when we solve Problem 8.

## Note the steps once more.

 Step 1: Write the LagrangianStep 2: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. the design variable and equate to zero to get the design equation.

Step 3: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. state variable(s) and equate to zero to get the adjoint equation.
Step 4: Collect all the equations, including the governing equation(s), complementarity condition(s), resource constraints, etc.
Step 5: Obtain the optimality criterion by substituting adjoint and equilibrium equations into the design equation, when it is possible.
Step 6: Identify all boundary conditions.
Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.
Step 8: Use the optimality criteria method to solve the equations numerically.
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## Let us consider Problem 8 now.

$\underset{A(x)}{\operatorname{Min}} M C=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x$
Subjectto
$\lambda(x): \quad\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p=0$
$\Lambda: \quad \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0$
$\mu_{u}(x): \quad A-A_{u} \leq 0$
$\mu_{l}(x): \quad A_{l}-A \leq 0$
Data: $L, p(x), E, V^{*}, A_{l}, A_{u}$

## Step 1 to solve Problem 8

Step 1: Write the Lagrangian
$\underset{A(x)}{\operatorname{Min}} M C=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x$
Subject to
$\lambda(x): \quad\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p=0$
$\Lambda:$
$\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0$
$\mu_{u}(x): \quad A-A_{u} \leq 0$
$\mu_{l}(x): \quad A_{l}-A \leq 0$
Data: L, $p(x), E, V^{*}, A_{l}, A_{u}$

Lagrangian
$L=\int_{0}^{L} p u d x+\int_{0}^{L} \lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\} d x+\Lambda\left(\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}\right)$
$+\int_{0}^{L} \mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{L} \mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right) d x$
Integrand in the Lagrangian functional
$\hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A+\mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)+\mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right)$

## Step 2 to solve Problem 8

Step 2: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. the design variable and equate to zero to get the design equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{L}=p u+\lambda\left\{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p\right\}+\Lambda A+\mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)+\mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right) \\
& =p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A+\mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)+\mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\partial \hat{L}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{}\right)^{\prime} \quad$ Design equation
$\delta_{A} L=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A^{\prime}}\right)=0 \quad\{\Lambda\}+\left\{\mu_{u}\right\}-\left\{\mu_{l}\right\}+\left\{-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right\}=0$
$\Rightarrow E \lambda u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}-\left(E \lambda u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0$
$\Rightarrow \Lambda+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$

What multiplies the Lagrange multiplier is the sensitivity of the corresponding constraint. Here, it is positive or negative unity for all three.

Sensitivity
(derivative) of the objective function

## Step 3 to solve Problem 8

Step 3: Take variation of the Lagrangian w.r.t. state variable(s) and equate to zero to get the adjoint equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{L}=p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A+\mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)+\mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right) \\
& \delta_{u} L=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Adjoint (equilibrium) equation } \\
\Rightarrow p-\left(E \lambda A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(E \lambda A)^{\prime \prime}=0 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Adjoint load; } \\
\text { here it is equal to } \\
\text { the actual load } \\
\text { because the } \\
\text { objective function } \\
\text { is mean } \\
\text { compliance. }
\end{array}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\text { No change her } \\
\Rightarrow p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\text { as compared tc } \\
\text { Problem 1. }
\end{array} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 4 to solve Problem 8

Step 4: Collect all the equations, including the governing equation(s), complementarity condition(s), resource constraints, etc.
$\Lambda+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0 \quad$ Design equation
$\begin{array}{ll}p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 & \text { Adjoint (equilibrium) equation } \\ p+\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 & \text { Equilibrium (governing) equation }\end{array}$
$\Lambda\left(\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}\right)=0, \Lambda \geq 0 ; \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Complementarity conditions } \\ \mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)=0, \mu_{u} \geq 0 ; \quad \mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right)=0, \mu_{l} \geq 0 ;\end{gathered}$
$\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*} \leq 0 ; \quad A-A_{u} \leq 0 ; \quad\left(A_{l}-A\right) \leq 0$

## Step 5 to solve Problem 8

Step 5: Obtain the optimality criterion by substituting adjoint and equilibrium equations into the design equation, when it is possible.
$\Lambda+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}-E \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$
$\left.p+\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0\right] \lambda=u$
Optimality criterion
$\xrightarrow[\text { These are functions of } x ; \text { so, the strain }]{\text { energy density is not necessarily }}$ constant throughout.

## Step 6 to solve Problem 8

Step 6: Identify all boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{L}=p u+E \lambda A^{\prime} u^{\prime}+E \lambda A u^{\prime \prime}+\Lambda A+\mu_{u}\left(A-A_{u}\right)+\mu_{l}\left(A_{l}-A\right) \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial A^{\prime}}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left(E \lambda u^{\prime}+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}\right) \delta A\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \quad \text { BC1 } \\
& \left.\left\{\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime}}-\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right\} \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left\{\left(E \lambda A^{\prime}\right)-(E \lambda A)^{\prime}\right\} \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow\left(E A \lambda^{\prime}\right) \delta u\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \quad \text { BC2 } \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=\left.0 \Rightarrow(E A \lambda) \delta u^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \quad \text { ВС3 } \tag{BC}
\end{align*}
$$

## Step 7 to solve Problem 8

Step 7: Solve the equations analytically as much as possible.
Optimality criterion

$$
\Lambda+\mu_{u}-\mu_{l}-E u^{\prime 2}=0
$$

We have three cases now.

Case 1: $\mu_{u}>0, \mu_{l}=0 \Rightarrow A=A_{u} \quad$ Area of cross-section is thus known.

Case 2: $\mu_{l}>0, \mu_{u}=0 \Rightarrow A=A_{l} \quad$ Area of cross-section is thus known.

Case 3: $\mu_{l}=0, \mu_{u}=0 \Rightarrow$ We have dealt with this in Problem 1.

We need to partition the domain $(0, \mathrm{~L})$ into these three cases. We do this numerically using the optimality criteria method.

## Partitioning the domain into three types



$$
A=A_{u} \quad A=A_{l} \quad A=A_{u} \quad A=A_{l}
$$

$A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { This is how we update when } A(x) \\ & \text { is in between the bounds. }\end{aligned}$
As per the optimality criterion
While we partition the domain, we should ensure that the volume constraint is satisfied.

## Calculate the Lagrange multiplier

 Substitute $A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x)$ into $\int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}=0$$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x=0 & x=L \\
A=A_{u} & A=A_{l} & A=A_{u}
\end{array} A=A_{l},
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) d x=V^{*}-\int_{\Omega_{u}} A_{u} d x-\int_{\Omega_{l}} A_{l} d x \Rightarrow \Lambda=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(E u^{\prime 2}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) d x}{V^{*}-\int_{\Omega_{u}} A_{u} d x-\int_{\Omega_{l}} A_{l} d x}
$$

## Step 8 to solve Problem 8

Step 8: Use the optimality criteria method to solve the equations numerically.
This is the step-wise procedure of the optimality criteria method.
(i) Choose $A_{0}(x)$ as the initial guess.
(ii) $\quad A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x)$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (iii) Evaluate } \Lambda \text { using } \int_{0}^{L} A d x-V^{*}=0 \\ \text { (iv) Ensure that everywhere } A \leq A_{u} ; \quad A_{l} \leq A\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { This to is iterative; we call it the inner } \\ & \text { iterative loop. This is where we partition } \\ & \text { the domain into three types. }\end{aligned}$
(v) Update $A_{k+1}(x)$ until convergence, i.e., until $A_{k+1}(x)-A_{k}(x) \leq$ tolerance.

## Outer and inner loop iterations

Begin the outer loop with $A_{0}(x)$
$\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(E u^{\prime 2}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) d x \quad A_{k}(x)$
Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(E u^{\prime 2}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) d x}{V^{*}} \\
& A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\} A_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Inner loop begins here.
Check against bounds: If $A_{k+1}(x)>A_{u}, A_{k+1}(x)=A_{u} \quad$ Add that $x$ to $\Omega_{u}$

$$
\text { If } A_{k+1}(x)<A_{l}, A_{k+1}(x)=A_{l} \quad \text { Add that } x \text { to } \Omega_{l}
$$

Now, recalculate $\Lambda$ As show in the next slide.

## Inner loop (contd.)

$$
\Lambda=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(E u^{\prime 2}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x) d x}{V^{*}-\int_{\Omega_{u}} A_{u} d x-\int_{\Omega_{l}} A_{l} d x}
$$

Update again $A_{k+1}(x)=\left\{\left(\frac{E u^{\prime 2}}{\Lambda}\right)^{\beta}\right\}_{k} A_{k}(x)$
Check against bounds If $A_{k+1}(x)>A_{u}, A_{k+1}(x)=A_{u} \quad$ update $\Omega_{u}$ again

$$
\text { If } A_{k+1}(x)<A_{l}, A_{k+1}(x)=A_{l} \quad \text { update } \Omega_{l}
$$

Now, recalculate $\Lambda$

## Optimality criteria method

Updating area of cross-section is the outer loop using the optimality criterion.

Updating Lambda and partitioning of the domain is the inner loop.
Note that area of cross-section gets update in the inner loop also.
This ends when partitioning does not change anymore.
The outer loop ends when the design variable over the entire domain does not change anymore.

## Check out the BarOpt Matlab code.

BarOpt has four files:
baropt.m >> implements optimality criteria method. fembar. $m \gg$ finite element code for bar elements. matcut.m and veccut.m >> These are used by fembar.m baropt.m may be modified by you to change the data such as the length of the bar, loading, displacement boundary conditions, the number of elements in the bar, total number of iterations, the tolerance to stop the iterative process, etc.

A representative result of optimized area of crosssection.


## The end note

Axially deforming bar is the simplest structural optimization problem.

We considered two cases of this.
The first is general but it illustrates how optimality criterion comes about. The second considers restrictions on the design variable, which results in the optimality criterion not being satisfied everywhere.

Problem 1 was solved completely analytically. Problem 8 needed numerical method.

Optimality criteria method and its algorithm were discussed.

Problem 8 is solved numerically; Matlab script provided separately.

