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ABSTRACT
This paper presents a study of kinematic and force singularities and their relationship to the controllability of planar and spatial parallel manipulators. Parallel manipulators are classified according to their degrees of freedom, number of output Cartesian variables used to describe their motion and the number of actuated joint inputs. The singularities in the workspace of a parallel manipulator are studied by considering the force transformation matrix which maps the forces and torques in joint space to output forces and torques in Cartesian space. The uncontrollable regions in the workspace of the parallel manipulator are obtained by deriving the equations of motion in terms of Cartesian variables and using techniques from Lie Algebra. We show that when the number of actuated joint inputs is equal to the number of output Cartesian variables, and the force transformation matrix loses rank, the parallel manipulator is uncontrollable. For the case of manipulators where the number of joint inputs is less than the number of output Cartesian variables, if the constraint forces and torques (represented by the Lagrange multipliers) become infinite, the force transformation matrix loses rank. Finally, we show that the singular and uncontrollable regions in the workspace of a parallel manipulator can be reduced by adding redundant joint actuators and links. The results are illustrated with the help of numerical examples where we plot the singular and uncontrollable regions of parallel manipulators belonging to the above mentioned classes.

INTRODUCTION
Singularity, workspace and controllability of serial manipulators have been extensively studied and are very well understood (see for example (Sugimoto et. al., 1982; Wang and Waldron, 1987; Hunt, 1986; Lipkin and Pohl, 1991; Karger, 1996; Shamir, 1990)). In general, singularities of serial manipulators are characterized by the loss of one or more degrees of freedom and it has been shown that a serial manipulator will be uncontrollable at kinematic singularities where the velocity Jacobian loses rank. Several researchers have suggested control strategies to avoid or pass through such singular configurations (see for example (Chang and Khatib, 1995; Tchon and Matuszok, 1995; Chevallereau, 1996; Lloyd, 1996)). In the case of parallel manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms, singularity analysis is much more difficult since such mechanisms contain unactuated joints and joints with more than one degree of freedom. Singularities in parallel manipulators can be associated with either loss or gain of one or more degrees of freedom (Gosselin and Angeles, 1990). In general, closed-form solutions for singular curves/surfaces for parallel manipulators of arbitrary architecture requires elimination of unwanted variables from several nonlinear transcendental equations, and this is quite difficult. Although some results are available for the singularities of planar and spatial parallel manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms (see for example (Gosselin and Angeles, 1990; Sefrioui and Gosselin, 1995; Danielli et. al., 1995; Merlet, 1989; Hunt, 1978; Collins and Long, 1995; Basu, 1997; Zlatanov et. al., 1995; Fichter, 1986)), controllability of parallel manipulators has not been addressed adequately. There exists general theories on controllability of non-linear systems (see for example (Herman and Krenner, 1977; Isidori, 1995; Sussman and...
Forces and torques in Cartesian space.

The equations of motion of parallel manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms can be described by a set of differential-algebraic equations with holonomic constraint equations, and these have not been addressed adequately in literature. As far as the authors are aware, there exists no work, regarding the correlation between the singular and uncontrollable configurations in the workspace of parallel, hybrid manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms.

In this paper, we present theoretical and numerical results dealing with the relationship between the singular and uncontrollable regions in the workspace of parallel manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms when they gain one or more degrees of freedom. The parallel manipulators are classified according to the number of output Cartesian variables used to describe their motion and the number of actuated joint inputs. The singularities in the workspace of a parallel manipulator are studied by considering the force transformation matrix which maps the forces and torques in joint space to output forces and torques in Cartesian space. The uncontrollable regions in the workspace of the parallel manipulator are obtained by deriving the equations of motion in terms of Cartesian variables and by using techniques from Lie Algebra. We show that when the number of joint inputs is equal to the number of output Cartesian variables, and the force transformation matrix losses rank, the parallel manipulator is uncontrollable. For the case of manipulators where the number of joint inputs is less than the number of output Cartesian variables, if the constraint forces and torques(represented by the Lagrange multipliers) become infinite the force transformation matrix loses rank. Finally, we show that the singular and uncontrollable regions in the workspace of a parallel manipulator can be reduced by adding redundant joint actuators and links. The results are illustrated with the help of numerical examples where we plot the singular and uncontrollable regions of the above mentioned classes of parallel manipulators.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we describe in brief the notion of a force transformation matrix and its relationship to the singularities of parallel manipulators. In section 3, we present the techniques for controllability analysis of parallel manipulators and closed loop mechanisms. In section 4, we present illustrative examples for various types of parallel manipulators and in section 5, we present the conclusions.

**FORCE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX AND SINGULARITY**

The equations of motion of a arbitrary parallel manipulator, in terms of Cartesian variables $\mathbf{X}$ can be written as

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X})\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{\eta}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbf{H}_s(\mathbf{X})\tau_a + \mathbf{H}_x\lambda
$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{M}$ is the mass matrix, $\mathbf{\eta}$ is the vector containing the non-linear terms, $\tau_a$ is the column vector of the actuator forces/torques and $\lambda$'s are the constraint forces or the Lagrange multipliers. The matrix $\mathbf{H}$ given by

$$
\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{H}_s, \mathbf{H}_x]
$$

is called the *force transformation matrix* (Fichter, 1986). The force transformation matrix, $\mathbf{H}$, maps the joint forces/torques, $\tau$, to the output forces/torques on the end-effector in Cartesian space, $\mathbf{F}$, according to the relation $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}\tau$.

In certain cases, the constraint equations can be eliminated and we can write the equations of motion as

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X})\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{\eta}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbf{H}_s(\mathbf{X})\tau_a
$$

(2)

From equation 2, we see that the input forces/moments can be written as

$$
\tau_a = \mathbf{H}_s^{-1}(\mathbf{X})\{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X})\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{\eta}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}})\}
$$

(3)

From the above equation, we observe that the actuator torques/forces will attain infinitely high value if the $\mathbf{H}$ matrix(which is same as $\mathbf{H}_s$ in this case) is rank deficient or the columns of the $\mathbf{H}_x$ matrix are linearly dependent. Hence, the rank deficiency of the $\mathbf{H}_x$ matrix leads to the condition of force singularities in the manipulators. At such singularities, the manipulator gains one or more degrees of freedom(see also (Hunt, 1978; Merlet, 1989)).

In case the constraint equations cannot be eliminated, in addition to the actuator forces/torques becoming infinite, the constraint forces given by the Lagrange multipliers can also go to infinity and we need to consider the rank deficiency of the matrix $\mathbf{H}_k$.

The columns of the force transformation matrix for manipulators with prismatic actuators are the Plücker coordinates (Hunt, 1978) of the joints of the mechanism, and in general are of the form

$$
\mathbf{H}_i = \begin{bmatrix}
S_i \\
q_i \times S_i
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(4)
where \( S_i \) is a vector along the joint axis and \( q_i \times S_i \) is the moment of \( S_i \) with respect to a coordinate system. For manipulators with revolute actuators, the force transformation matrix can also be written in terms of the Plücker vectors, however, one has to choose the joint axis of the actuated joints and the moment term has to carefully evaluated with respect to the chosen coordinate system.

For a planar manipulator, the dimension of \( H_i \) is \( 3 \times 1 \) and for a spatial manipulator the dimension is \( 6 \times 1 \). In general, for those manipulators where the constraints can be totally eliminated, the force transformation matrix \( H \) has dimension \( m \times n \) where \( n \) is the number of actuated joints and \( m \) is the number of task space coordinates (3 for planar platform mechanisms and 6 for spatial platform mechanisms).

**CONTROLLABILITY ANALYSIS**

For the purpose of controllability studies of \( n \) degree of freedom mechanical systems, the nonlinear equations of motion are typically written as a set of \( 2n \) first order ordinary differential equations in terms of state variables. The state-space equations can, in general, be written as

\[
\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i
\]

where \( x \) is the vector of the state-variables, \( f \) and \( g \) represent the dynamics of the plant and the controller respectively, and \( u_i \)'s are the \( m \) control inputs. We list a few definitions for the purpose of controllability analysis of systems modeled under the framework of equation (5).

- A control Lie algebra is the smallest sub-algebra, \( C \), containing the vector fields \( f, g_1, g_2, ..., g_m \), where \( f \) and \( g \) determine the dynamics of the plant and the controller (see equation 1).
- A distribution \( \Delta_c \) is the span \( \langle f, C \rangle \), where \( \langle f, C \rangle = f + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_iu_i \) and \( \langle f, C \rangle \) always remains in \( \Delta_c \).

A sufficient condition for a control system of the form given in equation (5) to be controllable at a point \( x^o \) is that \( \text{dim} \Delta_c(x^o) = n \) or \( \text{rank}[C] = n \). Here checking the rank of the distribution \( \Delta_c \) is the same as checking the rank of the controllability matrix \( C \) because the matrix \( C \) is the smallest sub-algebra such that \( \langle f, C \rangle \) spans the distribution \( \Delta_c \).

In non-redundant serial manipulators with \( n \) degrees of freedom, the \( 2n \) state variables are the \( n \) joint variables and the \( n \) joint velocities, and the number of actuated joints \( m \) is usually the same as \( n \). In case of parallel manipulator and closed-loop mechanisms, there exists unactuated joints and we can have \( n \) greater than, equal to or less than \( m \). We next evaluate the control algebra \( C \) for different forms of parallel manipulators classified according to the \( n \) number of output variables\( (n) \) and the number of input actuations\( (m) \).

**Manipulators with \( m = n \)**

In parallel manipulators where the number of output Cartesian variables are the same as the number of actuated joint inputs, such as the well known Stewart Platform, by a suitable choice of Cartesian variables, the loop-closure (holonomic) constraint can be eliminated. In such cases, we can write the state equations as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
x_2 \\
-M^{-1}\eta \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
M^{-1}H \\
\vdots \\
M^{-1}_0
\end{pmatrix}u
\]

where \( \dot{x}_i \) and \( x_i \) denote the generalized co-ordinates (position/orientation variables) and their derivatives (velocity variables). Comparing with equations 5, we observe that

\[
f = \begin{pmatrix}
x_2 \\
-M^{-1}\eta
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
M^{-1}H
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For such manipulators, the control algebra is given by

\[
C = [g_i, [f, g_i]]; \quad \forall i = 1, ..., n
\]

where \( g_i \) represents the \( i \)-th column of the \( g \) matrix, and \([f, g_i]\) is the Lie Bracket (Isidori, 1995) of the vector fields \( f \) and \( g_i \).

For this class of parallel manipulators, the manipulator is uncontrollable if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. The matrix \( H \) is rank deficient. This can be easily seen from the fact that

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
M^{-1}H
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and the columns of \( g \) are linearly dependent if \( H \) loses rank.
2. If the vector fields $f$ and $g_i$'s are involutive, i.e., if any of the vectors $[f, g_i]$, $i = 1, ..., m$, can be expressed as a linear combination of vector fields $f$ and $g_i$'s.

3. If any of the columns of $[f, g_i]$ are linearly dependent on other columns of $[f, g_i]$.

For most parallel manipulators, it is extremely difficult to obtain closed form expressions for the above conditions, and in this paper, we have calculated the controllability conditions numerically (see section 4). However, from condition 1 in the above discussion we can conclude that force singularity is always a subset of uncontrollability for parallel manipulators with $m = n$.

In section 4, we present numerical results showing the singular and uncontrollable regions of a manipulator belonging to this class.

**Redundant Manipulators with** $m > n$

To the basic structure of the Stewart Platform with six legs and six actuators if we add another leg with an actuated joint, then the number of degrees of freedom for the Stewart Platform still remains 6. However, in such a case, the number of actuated joints are more than $n$ ($n = 6$ in this case).

For manipulators with $m > n$, the controllability matrix is of the form $C = [g_i, [f, g_i]]$; $i = 1, ..., m$. The controllability matrix in this case is rectangular in dimension $(2n \times 2m)$ and the manipulator will be uncontrollable if the rank of $C$ becomes less than $2n$. The force transformation matrix, $H$, is of dimension $(m \times n)$.

The rank of a matrix is given by the maximum number of linearly independent columns or the dimension of the subspace generated by the columns of that matrix. To check the rank deficiency condition of $H$ (i.e., $\text{rank}(H) < n$), we need to check the rank deficiency of $m - n + 1$ square matrices of dimension $(n \times n)$. The matrix $H$ is rank deficient when each of the individual square sub-matrices are rank deficient, since otherwise there will be $n$ independent columns in the $H$ matrix. This reasoning implies that the singularity manifold will be the intersection of the manifolds obtained by considering the rank deficiency of each of the $m - n + 1$ square matrices ([Dasgupta and Mruthyunjaya, 1998]).

If the rank deficiency condition of each of the square matrices give surfaces, then the intersection will be along curves or points. Hence, it is expected that the complete singularity manifold will be smaller for a redundant manipulator. The uncontrollable region, by a similar reasoning, is expected to be smaller for a redundant parallel manipulator.

In section 4, we present numerical results for a redundant planar manipulator which illustrates the above reasoning.

**Manipulators with** $m < n$

In many parallel manipulators, it is not possible to eliminate the constraints and the equations of motion contain Lagrange multipliers. In such cases, we adopt a normalization strategy used by Krishnan and McClamroch ([Krishnan and McClamroch, 1994]). Using the transformations used by Krishnan and McClamroch, we can obtain a new set of state space equations given as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_T &= x_7 \\
\dot{x}_7 &= P(q)\dot{q} - PM^{-1}(q)\eta(q, q) - J^T(\{JM^{-1}(q)\}J^T)^{-1}x_7 \\
&+ P^{-1}(q)[E_n - J^TJM^{-1}(q)]u
\end{align*}
\]  

(8)

where $P$ is given by

\[
(\partial h_1/\partial q)\dot{q} = P(q)\dot{q}
\]

and $h_1$ are the output variables which have been chosen to be controlled and are equal in number to the degree of freedom of the system. The matrix $J$ denotes the Jacobian of the constraints inherent to the system.

It may be noted that the input $u$ in equation (8) when expressed in joint space is $\tau$ and will be $H_\tau\tau$ when the equations of motion are expressed in task space. It can also be seen that the choice of output variables $\dot{x}_T$ depend on the variables which are to be controlled, and hence the controllability characteristics will depend on the choice of the output co-ordinates.

The singularity matrix $H$ will be rank deficient when either of the sub-matrices $H_s$ or $H_b$ will be rank deficient. The $H_s$ matrix will be rank deficient if the matrix $H_s$ of size $6 \times 3$ has rank less than 3. As before, this signifies that infinite actuation forces are required, and the manipulator will fail to withstand 4 (or more) out of 6 externally applied forces/moments applied along the direction of generalized co-ordinates.

From the expression of $g$ it is clear that the rank deficiency of $H_s$ will lead to linear dependency of the column vectors $g_i$ and in turn the matrix $C$ will be rank deficient.

---

2This reasoning has been used to show that in a Stewart Platform with an extra actuated leg, the singularities lie at most on a 16-th order curve (for a fixed orientation of the output platform) instead of on a quartic surface for the regular Stewart Platform.
Hence, if $H_s$ is rank deficient, the system is also uncontrolled.

To see the effect of rank deficiency of $H_k$, we rewrite equation (1) as

$$\lambda = H_k^+(X) \{M(X)\ddot{X} + \gamma(X, \dot{X}) - H_r(X) \tau + (I - H_k^+H_k) \nu \}$$

where $H_k^+$ is the pseudo-inverse of the matrix $H_k$ given by $H_k^T(\text{det}(H_k) H_k^T)^{-1}$ and $\nu$ is any generalized vector such that $(I - H_k^+H_k) \nu$ lies in the null space of $H_k$. From this equation we can see that if $H_k$ loses rank, then it’s pseudo-inverse $H_k^+$ doesn’t exist or in other words the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda$ becomes infinite. Hence, if matrix $H_k$, of dimension $6 \times 3$, has rank less than 3, the constraint forces become infinite. It is, however, not possible to come to any analytical conclusions about controllability due to loss of rank of $H_k$ from the normalized equations.

Other than the loss of rank of $H_s$ and $H_k$, the matrix $H$ can also become singular if the individual columns of $H_s$ and $H_k$ are linearly dependent amongst themselves. This signifies that the manipulator will be unable to withstand external load under certain combination of constraint and input forces.

RESULTS WITH ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

In this section we illustrate our theory through examples of parallel manipulators belonging to the three different cases discussed in the previous section. Since the expressions for $\text{det}(H) = 0$ and $\text{det}(C) = 0$ are very complicated in many cases, it is difficult to obtain any closed-form analytical results. In this section, we present plots of singular and uncontrollable regions obtained numerically based on the rank deficiency of $H$ and $C$ matrices. The numerical values used for obtaining the plots are given in Appendix 1. The closed-form dynamic equations of motion for the respective manipulators have been obtained with the help of Newton-Euler formulation ([Choudhury, 1997]).

Parallel Manipulator with $m = n$

The three-degree-of-freedom planar parallel manipulator, shown in figure 1, has three prismatic actuated joints and six passive revolute joints. The equations of motion of this planar manipulator are given by

$$M \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{t} \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \eta = Hf$$

where the block elements of the mass matrix $M$ are

$$M_{11} = M_j E_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$$

$$M_{12} = M_{21}^T = - (M_r R_{\perp} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} Q_i q_{i\perp})$$

$$M_{22} = I_p + M_p (R^2 E_2 - R R^T) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} q_{i\perp} Q_i q_{i\perp}$$

and

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} M_j \{ -\omega^2 R - g \} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_i \\ -M_r R \times g + \sum_{i=1}^{3} q_i \times U_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ q_1 \times s_1 & q_2 \times s_2 & q_3 \times s_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

In the above equation, $\eta$ is the column vector containing the centripetal, Coriolis and other non-linear terms, $H$ is the force transformation matrix and vector $f$ denote the input actuations. The terms $a_1, Q$ and $U$ are given as

$$a_1 = m_u r_{u\perp}^2 + m_u r_{u\perp}^2 + (I_u + I_d)$$

$$Q = m_u s s^T + \frac{a_1}{L} s s^T + \frac{a_2}{L} s s^T + \frac{a_3}{L} s s^T$$

$$U = [m_u s \cdot g + m_u W^2 s \cdot r_u - m_u W^2 s \cdot L + 2 m_u W \dot{L} s \cdot r_u \perp] s + [m_u r_u \times g + m_d r_d \times g - 2 m_u \dot{L} W r_u \times r_u \perp - m_u W^2 I (r_u \times s) + 2 (I_u + I_d) W L s]$$

where $W$ is the angular velocity of the leg, $\perp$ denotes a positive rotation by a right-angle, $s$ is the unit vector along the leg direction, $\dot{L}$ is the velocity of the leg with prismatic actuation, $R$ denotes the centre of gravity of the platform in a reference frame about a base point and parallel to the global frame, $\omega$ is the angular velocity of the platform, $q$ denotes the platform point in local frame and $p$ is the rotation matrix which gives the orientation of the output platform, $r_u$ and $r_d$ denote the centre of gravity of upper and lower parts of the leg in the global frame, $m_d$ and $m_u$ denote the mass of lower and upper part of each leg, $M_p$ denotes the mass of the platform, $I_u$ and $I_d$ are the inertia matrices of the upper and lower parts respectively, $I_p$ is the inertia matrix of the platform in the global frame and $E_2$ is an $2 \times 2$ identity matrix.

From the $H$ matrix we can say that the mechanism does not have any kinematic singularity, though the manipulator is constrained due to the joint limits. Numerical simulations for the above manipulator were done with the parameters
given in Appendix 1. The simulations were done for several constant orientations of the output platform and the workspace boundary was calculated based on the joint limits of all the prismatic joints. Sefroui and Gosselin (Sefroui and Gosselin, 1995) have shown that the singularity curves will be quadratic in nature for a constant orientation of the output platform and similar conclusions can be inferred from the numerical results (see figure 4). From a geometric viewpoint the matrix $H$ will be singular when the joint axes are either parallel or concurrent. The singular curves along with the workspace boundary for this manipulator are shown in the left column of figure 4.

The controllability matrix for this manipulator has dimension $6 \times 6$. The uncontrollable regions along with the workspace boundary are shown on the right hand side of figure 4. It can be seen that the nature of the singular and uncontrollable regions are the same i.e. uncontrollability occurs around a singularity curve.

**Parallel Manipulator with Redundancy**

If an additional actuated leg is added to the three-degree-of-freedom planar manipulator shown in figure 1, then we have the case of a redundant parallel manipulator with $m > n$.

The equations of motion for the planar redundant manipulator shown in figure 2 are

$$M \begin{bmatrix} \dot{t} \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \eta = HF$$

where the block elements of the mass matrix $M$ are

$$M_{11} = M_p E_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_i$$
$$M_{12} = M_{21}^T = -(M_p R_{\perp} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_i q_{i_{\perp}})$$
$$M_{22} = I_p + M_p (R_2 R_2^T) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} q_{i_{\perp}}^T Q_i q_{i_{\perp}}$$

and

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} M_p \{-\omega^2 R - g\} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} U_i \\ -M_p R \times g + \sum_{i=1}^{4} q_i \times U_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \\ q_1 \times s_1 & q_2 \times s_2 & q_3 \times s_3 & q_4 \times s_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

In the above equation, $\eta$ is the column vector containing the centripetal, Coriolis and other non-linear terms, $H$ is the force transformation matrix and vector $f$ denotes the input actuations. The quantities, $Q$, $U$ and $a_1$ have the same meaning as in the previous manipulator.

In this case, the force transformation matrix is rectangular and of size $(3 \times 4)$. To check the rank deficiency condition, 2 independent conditions are obtained by considering 2 separate combinations of 3 columns of the $H$ matrix. It has been shown earlier that for a constant orientation of the output platform, the singularity curves will be quadratic in nature. Hence, the singularities of this redundant manipulator will be the points of intersection of these set of curves and with the help of Bezout’s theorem we can conclude that there can be at most 4 singular points. Numerical simulation for this mechanism were done for the parameters listed in Appendix 1 and results obtained for constant orientation of the output platform.

Figure 5 shows the points (marked by *) where the redundant manipulator is singular. Comparing with figure 4, it is clear that the singular regions are greatly reduced by addition of an actuated leg. The uncontrollable regions for this manipulator are shown on the right hand side of figure 5. It can be observed that, similar to the singular region, the uncontrollable region is also greatly reduced by addition of an actuated leg.

**Manipulator with $m < n$**

The 3-RPS spatial manipulator shown in figure 3 has six output variables (3 position co-ordinates and 3 orientation co-ordinates for the moving platform) and it has three degrees of freedom with three actuated prismatic joints. All the other revolute and spherical joints are passive. In this manipulator, the six output variables are not independent.

The equations of motion for the 3-RPS manipulator are six second-order differential equations and three algebraic constraints. These are given as

$$M \begin{bmatrix} \dot{t} \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \eta = HF + H_k \lambda$$

$$S_i \cdot k_i = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1 \text{ to } 3$$

where the block elements of the mass matrix $M$ are

$$M_{11} = M_p E_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$$

Copyright © 1998 by ASME
\[ M_{12} = -M_{21} = -M_p \tilde{R} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} Q_i \tilde{q}_i \]

\[ M_{22} = I_p + M_p (R^2 E_3 - RR^T) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{q}_i Q_i \tilde{q}_i \]

and

\[ \eta = \left[ M_p [\omega \times (\omega \times R) - g] + \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_i \right] \]

\[ H_s = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ q_1 \times s_1 & q_2 \times s_2 & q_3 \times s_3 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ H_k = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_3 \\ q_1 \times k_1 & q_2 \times k_2 & q_3 \times k_3 \end{bmatrix} \]

The force transformation matrix is obtained by considering the rank deficiency of the force transformation matrix. The uncontrollable regions of a parallel manipulator or a closed loop mechanism can be obtained by considering the rank deficiency of the controllability matrix after deriving the equations of motion in terms of Cartesian space variables. In this paper, we have shown that the singular regions in parallel manipulators are a subset of the uncontrollable regions. In some cases, parallel manipulators can also become uncontrollable when the Lagrange multipliers representing constraint forces/torques at the passive joints become infinite. Adding a redundant actuator is shown to reduce singular and controllable regions in parallel manipulators. The above results have been illustrated with the help of planar and spatial manipulators with three degrees of freedom.

CONCLUSION

The singularities in parallel manipulators and closed-loop mechanisms associated with a gain of degrees of freedom obtained by considering the rank deficiency of the force transformation matrix. The uncontrollable regions of a parallel manipulator or a closed loop mechanism can be obtained by considering the rank deficiency of the controllability matrix after deriving the equations of motion in terms of Cartesian space variables. In this paper, we have shown that the singular regions in parallel manipulators are a subset of the uncontrollable regions. In some cases, parallel manipulators can also become uncontrollable when the Lagrange multipliers representing constraint forces/torques at the passive joints become infinite. Adding a redundant actuator is shown to reduce singular and controllable regions in parallel manipulators. The above results have been illustrated with the help of planar and spatial manipulators with three degrees of freedom.
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APPENDIX 1

All the numerical values given in this Appendix are in SI-units.

3-DOF Planar Manipulator with Prismatic Actuations

Leg length limits for each leg: 0.2 to 1.0

Co-ordinates of base and platform points (in local frame), centres of gravity of lower and upper parts of each leg (in local frame) and centre of gravity of the platform (in local frame) are given by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b1</th>
<th>b2</th>
<th>b3</th>
<th>p1</th>
<th>p2</th>
<th>p3</th>
<th>r_d</th>
<th>r_u</th>
<th>R_d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mass and moments of inertia (about base joint) of lower and upper part of each leg and that of the platform are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_d</th>
<th>m_u</th>
<th>I_d</th>
<th>I_u</th>
<th>M_p</th>
<th>I_p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3-DOF Redundant Manipulator

The co-ordinates of the base and platform points (in local frame) are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b_1</th>
<th>b_2</th>
<th>b_3</th>
<th>p_1</th>
<th>p_2</th>
<th>p_3</th>
<th>p_4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All other parameters are similar to the above section.

3-RPS Manipulator

The leg lengths are taken to vary between 0.2 to 0.65. Co-ordinates of base and platform points (in local frame), direction of the revolute axes and centres of gravity of lower and upper parts and platform (in local frame) are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b_1</th>
<th>b_2</th>
<th>b_3</th>
<th>p_1</th>
<th>p_2</th>
<th>p_3</th>
<th>k_1</th>
<th>k_2</th>
<th>k_3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vectors r_d0, r_u0, and R_0 are given as (0.2, 0, 0)^T, (-0.15, 0, 0)^T, and (0.003, 0.003, 0.003)^T respectively.

The masses of the lower, the upper part of each leg and the platform are 0.5, 0.2 and 0.4 Kg’s respectively, and the moments of inertia of lower and upper parts of each leg (in the local frames) are given as

I_d0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.010 & 0.005 & 0.007 \\ 0.005 & 0.002 & 0.003 \\ 0.007 & 0.003 & 0.001 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad I_u0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.005 & 0.002 & 0.002 \\ 0.002 & 0.002 & 0.001 \\ 0.002 & 0.001 & 0.003 \end{bmatrix}

The moment of inertia of platform (in local frame) is given as

I_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0.010 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.020 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.075 \end{bmatrix}
Figure 3. 3-RPS Spatial Manipulator

Figure 4. Singular and Uncontrollable Regions of a 3-DOF Planar Manipulator
Figure 5. Singular and Uncontrollable Regions of a 3-DOF Planar Redundant Manipulator

Figure 6. Singular and Uncontrollable Regions of a 3-DOF Spatial Manipulator